

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RICHARD SCHUMACHER, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

PULTEGROUP, INC., et al.

Defendant.

NO. ED CV 15-0944 FMO (SPx)

**ORDER RE: MOTION TO DISMISS  
COMPLAINT AND FOR A MORE DEFINITE  
STATEMENT**

Having reviewed all the briefing filed with respect to defendants' Motion to Dismiss and for a More Definite Statement ("Motion"), the court concludes as follows.

Many motions to dismiss can be avoided if the parties confer in good faith (as required by Local Rule 7-3), especially for perceived defects in a complaint, answer or counterclaim that could be corrected by amendment. See Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003) (where a motion to dismiss is granted, a district court should provide leave to amend unless it is clear that the complaint could not be saved by any amendment). Moreover, a party has the right to amend the complaint "once as a matter of course[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1). Even after a complaint has been amended or a responsive pleading has been served, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide that "[t]he court should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). The Ninth Circuit requires that this policy favoring amendment be applied with "extreme liberality." Owens v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc., 244 F.3d 708, 712 (9th Cir. 2001); Morongo Band of Mission Indians v. Rose, 893 F.2d 1074, 1079 (9th Cir. 1990).

1           Given the policy favoring amendment of complaints and that plaintiffs are, in effect, entitled  
2 to amend the complaint, "once as a matter of course," see Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1), the court will  
3 grant defendants' Motion and dismiss plaintiffs' Complaint with leave to amend. In preparing the  
4 First Amended Complaint, plaintiffs shall carefully evaluate the contentions set forth in defendants'  
5 Motion. The court expects that defendants will agree to any amendment(s) that will cure the  
6 alleged defect(s).

7           Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

8           1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (**Document No. 15**) is **granted**, and the hearing on  
9 defendants' Motion, currently set for August 13, 2015, is hereby **vacated**.

10          2. The Complaint is **dismissed with leave to amend**.

11          3. If plaintiffs still wish to pursue this action, they are granted until **August 18, 2015**,  
12 to file a First Amended Complaint attempting to cure, to the extent they believe is warranted by  
13 existing law, the alleged defects outlined in defendant's Motion.

14          4. The First Amended Complaint must be labeled "First Amended Complaint," filed in  
15 compliance with Local Rule 3-2 and contain the case number assigned to the case, i.e., Case No.  
16 CV 15-0944 FMO (SPx). In addition, plaintiffs are informed that the court cannot refer to a prior  
17 pleading in order to make the First Amended Complaint complete. Local Rule 15-2 requires that  
18 an amended pleading be complete in and of itself without reference to any prior pleading. This  
19 is because, as a general rule, an amended pleading supersedes the original pleading. See Loux  
20 v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967), overruled in part, Lacey v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d  
21 896 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc).

22          5. Plaintiffs are cautioned that failure to timely file a First Amended Complaint may  
23 result in this action being dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and/or failure to  
24 comply with a court order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626,  
25 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962).

26          6. Defendants shall file their Answer to the First Amended Complaint or a motion  
27 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 no later than **September 1, 2015**.

